

Team Members:

Mr. M. Suresh

Mr. R. Shanmugam Mr. R. Ragunathan Dr. S. Asokan Mr. R. Rajalingam, Dr. K. Sudarshan Mr. Shamim Ahmad

Introduction

- Importance of operational efficiency in the Pulp and Paper industry
 - Energy-intensive operations
 - Need for continuous improvement

Overview of TNPL's initiatives in the Soda Recovery Plant

- Role of Soda Recovery Plant in Paper Manufacturing
- Importance of integrating advanced technologies
- Highlight TNPL's Technological advancements
- Showcase benefits in efficiency, reliability, and sustainability

Case Study 1 - Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP) Enhancement in Recovery Boiler

Objective:

- Need to improve ESP performance in Recovery Boilers
- Reduce energy consumption and enhance dust collection efficiency

Project implemented:

 Installed three-phase DC-Transformer Rectifier (DC-TR) with PIACSDC4 Controller.

Average & Peak Voltage of Single Phase TR & three Phase TR



Benefits of the Project

- Over all, the implementation of three-phase rectifier transformers has significantly reduced the dust concentration in the flue gas at the outlet of the ESP to a mere 50 mg/Nm3
- As a result, the ESP's performance has improved and one ESP chamber out of the three can be stopped without compromising the firing capacity. The stopping of one ESP chamber has led to energy savings.

ESP Power consumption:

- Before Recovery Boiler ESP Up-gradation: 3,55,000 KWH/month
- After Recovery Boiler ESP Up-gradation : 2,50,000 KWH/month.
- Net Power Saving = 1,05,000 KWH/month
- Net Cost savings = Rs. 6,30,000/month

Recovery Boiler ESP Total Power Consumption (KWH)



ESP Equipments monitoring in DCS

Children 5th Mary Costral Advise Configure Male CYSTEM NOES NUCL AND			X		
	Q Zoom To Fit · Command				
HONEYWELL	ESP		INDEX		
'A' CHAMBER	.B. C	'B' CHAMBER			
			HTR1 TEMP 87.0 deg C		
CONT S POINT 0 0 0			HTR2 TEMP 81.0 deg C		
		0	HTR3 TEMP 87.0 deg C		
SPARK S.POINT 449 mA 401 mA 600 mA			HTR4 TEMP 73.0 den C		
VOLTAGE 62.9 KV ZOLL IN TO OUT	VOLTAGE 65.7 IO	401	HTR5 TEMP 87.0 deg C		
SPARK RATE 13 South	SPARK RATE	71.5 ку 61.0 ку	HTR6 TEMP 73.0 deg C		
1 Sparks U Sparks	DDDV CUDDENT 24.0	3 Sparks 0 Sparks	HTD7 TEMP 95.0 degc		
PRRY CURRENT 21.9 Amps 49.2 Amps 73.0 Amps	PRRTCORRENT 21.9 Amps	49.1 Amps 72.4 Amps			
IDC LIMIT ECP 150 mA 150 mA 150 mA	IDC LIMIT ECP 150 mA	150 mA 150 mA	HIRG IEMP 89.0 deg C		
	TR READY		HTR9 TEMP 89.0 deg C		
TR STARTED	TR STARTED				
	REMOTE CNTRL	• •			
POR ACTIVE	POR ACTIVE	• •	HTR1 TEMP 70.0 deg C		
RPR ACTIVE	RPR ACTIVE 🔴	• •	HTR2 TEMP 88.0 deg C		
			HTR3 TEMP 90.0 deg C		
EXT I/L 😑 😗 😜	EXT I/L		HTR4 TEMP 77.0 deg C		
RAPPING GROUP	RAPPING GROUP		HTR5 TEMP 92.0 deg C		
			HTR6 TEMP 88.0 deg C		
	TIMER ON CMND OFF		HTDS TEMP 01.0 deg C		
	CNTS ON CMND OFF				
			HTRS TEMP 00.0 degC		
15-Jun-24 15:09:29 BIOGAS					
🏂 Station - Default - es 🔄 Calculator 🦷 HMIWeb Display Builder 🎉 ELECTRICAL 💽 Microsoft Excel - ippta 🎗 🖓 🎲 3:07 PM 📰					

Case Study 2 - Logic Scheme for Soot Blower Pipe Damage Prevention

Objective:

To address the risk of Soot Blower Pipe damage due to gear rack failures

Problem Faced:

- If the gear rack fails during forward motion, the soot blower carriage can propel uncontrollably and collide with the boiler wall, posing a safety hazard to nearby individuals and causing significant damage to the boiler.
- Additionally, the process of removing and replacing damaged soot blower pipes takes over 16 hours, adversely affecting boiler performance.

Case Study 2 - Logic Scheme for Soot Blower Pipe Damage Prevention

Solution Arrived:

- The proposed scheme incorporates a logic timer that monitors the forward travel of the Soot Blower carriage.
- The total traveling time of the Soot Blower is set as the timer's set point. During this period, if the home position is detected by the limit switch, an alarm is sent to the Distributed Control System (DCS), warning of a potential "Soot Blower pipe jam".
- Furthermore, if the home position is not reached within the defined time set in the timer, the logic scheme triggers an alarm on the DCS screen indicating "Soot Blower over travel".

Case Study 2 - Logic Scheme for Soot Blower Pipe Damage Prevention



Benefits of the Project:

Soot Blower lance Pipe saving Cost

- Pipe procurement cost : ₹ 1,50,000
- Pipe replacement cost :₹ 26,000

Total Cost benefit : ₹ 1.76 Lakhs/annum

Case Study 3 - Replacement of Limit Switch with Magnetic Sensors

Objective:

 To address the challenges with snap action limit switches in Soot Blowers (water entry, condensation & Etc.,)

Project implemented:

 Adoption of magnetic sensors for better reliability and costeffectiveness.

<u>Case Study 3 - Replacement of Limit Switch with</u> <u>Magnetic Sensors</u>



Previous Limit switch in Soot Blower

Present Magnetic Reed Switch in Soot Blower

The benefits of implementing the magnetic sensor Soot Blower control include	solution	for
(a) Reduced downtime,		
(b) Minimised inventory costs,		
(c) Reduced Soot Blower maintenance and		
(d) Improved performance of Soot Blower.		
 Cost of One Limit Switch 25000 	=	₹
Cost of Magnetic Reed Switch for One Blower	= ₹ 150)0
 Net Savings per Blower 23500 	=	₹
For 30 no's of Blowers 7,05,000	=	₹

Case Study 4 - Proactive Maintenance a for Critical Equipments

- **Project implemented:**
 - PLC-based system for monitoring motor conditions (>315 KW Motors).
 - This system includes motor bearing temperature and winding temperature monitors, Motor Vibration Monitoring for both Driven End /Non driven End enabling proactive maintenance of the motors and improving overall uptime

Case Study 4 - Proactive Maintenance of Critical Equipments



Case Study 4 - Proactive Maintenance of Critical Equipments



Centralized Energy Monitoring System at TNPL

- Furthermore, the integration of the soda recovery plant's power consumption Energy Management System (EnMS) data with the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system has been accomplished.
- This integration allows for easy monitoring of power consumption, facilitating efficient energy management. With access to real-time power consumption data, TNPL can plan and implement energy conservation measures strategically, optimizing energy usage and reducing costs.
- EMS helps us to implement energy conservation projects. Few of the Energy conservation projects listed below:

SRP - Energy Monitoring System Architecture



Case study 4 (a): Provision of VFD for WL Supply Pump 1&2 (216 & 217) Motor In Causticizer Plant

Description:

- White liquor being supplied to pulp mill hard wood plant through WL supply pumps (216 & 217).
- If WL tank level reached, then WL line control valve got closed but the supply pump in Causticizer will run continuously
- So, 30kw VFD in WL supply pump(216 & 217) for energy conservation.

Benefits:

✓ Power Saving of 12 Kw/hr is achieved ✓ Cost saving / annum (lakhs) 4.96 ✓ Investment cost (lakhs) 5.0 ✓ Payback period 1.0 year

Case study 4 (b): Provision Of VFD For WBL Feed Pump in Evaporator#1 Plant

Description:

Evaporator#1 WBL feed pump motor (664) running at constant speed (3000rpm) even the Evaporator WBL feed flow varies between min 120 m3 to max 180 m3 through instrument control valve.

Feed pump motor (664) consume same power during min and max feed rate.

So, it is proposed to install 37kw VFD in WBL feed pump motor for energy conservation.

Benefits:

✓ Motor running load in DOL55A power consumption/hr 33kw

✓ Motor running load with
 VFD – 32A power
 consumption/hr - 23kw.

✓ Total Power Saving - 10 Kw/hr

✓ Cost saving / Annum – Rs 4.5 Lakhs

✓Investment cost – Rs 5.0 Lakhs

✓ Payback period 1.1 year

Case study 4 (c) :Replacement Of SCBL Agitator at Evaporator#1 Plant Motor Power from15kw To 7.5kw

Description:

Evaporator#1 SCBL tank agitator (01, 02, 03 and 04) motor rating is 15kw, 1500rpm.

 This SCBL agitator was running at a load of 14.5 ~ 16 Ampere (Ideal Load)

 Motor rating reduced to 7.5kw, 1500rpm for trail basis and Now the agitator was running successfully at a running load of 5.4 A

Benefits:

✓ For one agitator power saving - 5.5 kw/hr.

✓ For 4nos agitator power saving - 22 kw/hr

✓ Net Power Saving : 22 Kw/hr

✓ Cost saving / Annum : 11.08 Lakhs

✓ Investment cost :1.5 Lakhs

✓ Payback period :0.1 year

Case Study 5 - VFD Implementation in Lime Kiln's Limestone Crusher

Conclusion

Case Studies Highlighting Success

Financial and Operational Benefits

<u>Cost savings:</u>

- ₹ 6.3 lakhs/month (ESP),
- ₹ 1.76 lakhs/year (Soot Blower),
- ₹ 7.05 lakhs (Magnetic Sensors),
- ₹ 20.54 lakhs/year (Energy Monitoring).

Overall Impact:

- Achieved TNPL's vision of sustainability.
- Improved energy efficiency and operational optimization.
- Reduced environmental impact through innovative technologies.

Future Directions

- Continued focus on technological innovations.
- Expansion of automation and digital integration.
- Commitment to ongoing efficiency improvements.

