

Trim loss minimization in finishing house

Singh S.P., Aggarwal N. and Rao N.J.*

Paper is produced in a standard width in a paper machine depending on the deckle. Different widths of paper, then, have to be slit from the same standard reel depending upon the requirements of the customers. The knives settings on the slitter for cutting are decided so as to result in the minimum trim loss. The orders for paper vary both in terms of widths and quantity of rolls. The selection of optimum knives settings for minimum trim loss is not possible on an intuitive basis when the number of widths in the customer requirements are large. On a slitter with multiple knives, an infinite number of settings are possible. First it is required to pick out of these possible knives settings only those which satisfy one or more of the orders to be met while giving a trim loss lower than the smallest width ordered. Next is to decide on the number of reels to be cut at each setting so as to fulfil all the customer requirements keeping the trim loss at the minimum.

An algorithm has been developed for identifying all the feasible knives settings and the Simplex algorithm of linear programming has been used to choose the best settings (i) A computer programs in BASIC is presented, as listed in APPENDIX—A, to carry out these calculations. The program can be run on any personal computer or any other computer allowing use of BASIC.

The following data are required :

1. The width of the reel (deckle), m; after deducting the minimum required trimming of the edges.
2. The number of orders, separate orders have been considered for each width of reel in a reel order and width of sheets in a sheet order. For example, an order for reels of three widths will be considered equivalent to 3 orders.
3. The width and the number of rolls required in each order. Generally, in case of sheet orders the longer side of the sheet is taken in machine direction and hence a reel of a width equal to the width of the sheets required in an order can be slit before finally

cutting them into sheets. If acceptable to the buyer a wider reel equal to the length of sheets can be slit. It is generally not considered a good practice to have sheets some having their longer side along machine direction and some along cross direction.

The program is made considering only one machine at a time but it can be modified to accommodate more than one machines.

A sample problem has been solved in APPENDIX B to illustrate the use of this program.

REFERENCE :

Taha H. A., "Operations Research—An Introduction", Mac Millan Publishing Co. Inc., New York (1982)

APPENDIX—A : Program Listing

```
dim x%(20), c(20, 150), s(20, 150), value (20),
b(20), rolls(20)
dim obj(150), w(20), trim (150), del (150),
rollsprod (20)
cls : beep
print "Trim loss Mini MISER" ; p
print "Width of paper reel after deducting minimum";
print "required trimming of edges";
input P
input "No. of orders"; m
totalroll=0
for k=1 to m
print
input "Width ordered"; w(k)
input "No. of rolls to be slit"; value (k)
rolls (k)=value (k)
totalroll=totalroll+value (k)
next k
for k=2 to m
for j=1 to k-1
```

*Institute of Paper Technology Saharanpur.

```

if w(k) > w(j) then 10
dum1=w(k)
dum2=value (k)
for 1=k to j+1 step -1
w(1)=w(1-1)
value (1)=value (1-1)
rolls (1)=value (1)
next 1
w(j)=dum1
value (j)=dum2
rolls (j)=value (j)

10 next j
next k
ns=1
x%(1)=INT(P/w(1))
if x%(1)<1 then 500
c(1, 1)=x%(1) : s(1, 1)=x%(1)
trim (1)=P-x%(1)*w(1)
j=1

12 x%(j)=x%(j)-1
if x%(j) <0 then 20
sum=0.0
for k=j+1 to m : x%(k)=0 : next k
for k=1 to j : sum=sum+x%(k)*w(k) : next k
x%(j+1)=INT((P-sum)/w(j+1))
if x%(j+1)<1 then 12
trim=P-sum-x%(j+1)*w(j+1)
if trim > w(1) then 25
if trim <0 then 25

18 ns=ns+1
for i=1 to m : c(i, ns)=x%(i) : s(i, ns)=x%(i) :
next i
trim (us)=trim

25 if j=>m-1 then 22
j=j+1 : go to 12

22 x%(m-1)=x%(m-1)-1
if x%(m-1) <0 then 20
sum=0 : x%(m)=0
for k=1 to (m-1) : sum=sum+x%(k)*w(k)
next :
x%(m)=INT((P-sum)/w(m))
if x%(m) <1 then 22
trim=P-sum-x%(m)*w(m)
if trim >= w(1) then 22
go to 18

20 j=j-1

if j=0 then 100
go to 12
100 cls : print : Rem feasible knife—settings determined
150 phase=1
nsl=ns+m+m
155 for j=1 to nsl : obj (j) = 0.0 : next j
for i=1 to m
c (i, ns+i) = -1.0
c (i, ns+m+i) = 1.0
b (i) = ns+m+i
obj (ns+m+i)=1.0
next i

120 rem simplex tableau
160 rem calculate del
for j=1 to nsl
sum=0.0
for i=1 to m
sum=sum + obj (b (i))* c (i,j)
next i
del (j) = obj (j) —sum
next j

260 rem select largest negative del to fix entering
variable
jenter=0
big=0
for j=1 to nsl
if del (j) >= 0 then 262
if abs (del(j)) <= big then 262
big = abs (del (j))
jenter=j
262 next j
if jenter = 0 then 400

270 rem smallest +ve value to fix leaving variable
i leave=0
small = 9e9
for i=1 to m
if c(i, jenter) = 0 then 272
if value (i)/c (i, jenter) <= 0 then 272
if value (i)/c (i, jenter) >= small then 272
i leave=i
small = value (i)/c (i, jenter)
272 next i
if i leave=0 then 290
pivot= c (i leave, jenter)

280 rem gauss jordan

```

```

for j=1 to ns
  c (i leave, j) = c (i leave, j)/pivot
next j
Value (ileave)=value (i leave)/pivot
for i=1 to m
  if i=ileave then 282
  dummy=c (i,jenter)
  for j=1 to ns
    c(i,j) = c(i,j) - dummy * c (i leave,j)
  next j
  value (i)=value (i)-dummy * value (i leave)
282 next i
  b (i leave) = jenter
  iter = iter+1
  if iter > 50 then 505
  goto 120
400 rem perhaps optimum has come
if phase=2 then 450
for i=1 to m
  if b (i) > ns+m then 500
  next i
  phase = 2
  ns1=ns+m
  for j=1 to ns
    obj (j) = trim (j)
  next j
  iter=0
  go to 120
450 rem print the results
cls : print
  print tab (3); "TABLE 1 Feasible knife settings"
  print tab (3); "-----"
  for k=1 to 4+7*m : print "-"; : next k
  print
  print tab (7*m/2-6); "Roll Widths, m";
  tab (3+7*m); "Trim"
  print.tab (3);
  for k=1 to 7*m-4 : print "-"; : next k
  print tab (3+7*m); "loss"
  print tab (3);
  for i=1 to m : print w (i); tab (3+7*i); : next i
  print
  print w (i); tab (3+7*i); : next i
  print
  print tab (3);
  for k=1 to 4+7*m : print "-"; : next k
  print
  for j=1 to ns:

```

```

print tab (3);
for i=1 to m
  print s (i,j); tab (3+7*i);
next i
print trim (j)
next j
print tab (3);
for k=1 to 4+7*m : print "-"; : next k
print : print
print tab(3); "TABLE 2 Optimum Slitting Scheme":
print
print tab (3);
for k=1 to 13+7*m : print "-"; : next k
print tab (7*m/2-2); "Roll Widths, m"; tab
(3+7*m); "Trim";
print tab (10+7*m); "No. of"
print tab (3);
for k=1 to 7*m-4 : print "-"; : next k
print tab (3+7*m); "loss"; tab (10+7*m); "reels"
print tab (3);
for i=1 to m : print w (i); tab (3+7*i); : next i
print
print tab (3);
for k=1 to 13+7*m : print "-"; : next k
print : trimlosss =0.0
for i=1 to m
  if b (i) > ns then 470
  print tab (3);
  for j=1 to m
    rollsprod (j) = rollsprod (j) + s (j,b(i))*INT
    (value (i)+.9)
  print s (j,b(i)), tab (3+7*j);
  next j
  print trim (b(i)); tab (10+7*m); INT(value (i)+.9)
  trimloss=trimloss+trim (b(i)) * (int(value(i)+ 9))
  print
470 next i
  print tab (3);
  for k=1 to 13+7*m : print "-"; : next k
  print : print tab (3);
  for j=1 to m
    print rolls (j); tab (3+7*j);
  next j
  print "rolls ordered": print : print tab (3);
  for j=1 to m
    print rollsprod (j); tab (3+7*j); : next j
  next j
  print "rolls produced"

```

```

print :print tab (3); "Total trim loss %=";
print trimloss * 100.0/(P * totalroll)
stop :end

500 print "first phase not optimized" :end
505 print "iterations exceed 50; job terminated" :end
290 rem breaking tie
big = 0
jl=jenter + 1
big=abs (del (jenter))
for j=jl to ns1
if del (j) > = 0 then 292
if abs (del (j)) = blg then jenter = j
292 next j.if jenter = (jl-1) then end
goto 270

```

APPENDIX-B : Sample Problem

To illustrate the use of this program let us take the following sample problem

A paper mill has a single paper machine. The width of the paper at the pope reel after deducting the minimum edge trimmings is 6 m. The mill, at a particular time has 5 orders at hand as given below.

order no.	width, m	no. of rolls
1	1.75	30
2	1.2	20
3	2.5	50
4	3.2	25
5	4.5	45

The solution of the above problem is yielded in the form of two tables as given below :

Trim loss Mini. MISER

Width of pope reel after deducting minimum required trimming of edges ? 6

No. of orders ? 5

Width ordered ? 1.75

No. of rolls ? 30

Width ordered ? 1.2

No. of rolls ? 20

Width ordered ? 2.5

No. of rolls ? 50

Width ordered ? 3.2

No. of rolls ? 25

Width ordered ? 4.5

No. of rolls ? 45

TABLE 1 Feasible knife settings

Roll Widths, m					Trim loss
1.2	1.75	2.5	3.2	4.5	
5	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	.65
2	2	0	0	0	.1
2	0	1	9	0	1.1
2	0	0	1	0	.4
1	1	1	0	0	.55
1	0	0	0	1	.3
0	3	0	0	0	.75
0	2	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1.05
0	0	2	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0	.3

TABLE 2 Optimum Slitting Scheme

Roll Widths, m					Trim loss	No. of reels
1.2	1.75	2.5	3.2	4.5		
1	0	0	0	1	.3	45
0	2	1	0	0	0	25
0	0	1	1	0	.3	25
20	30	50	25	45	rolls ordered	
45	50	50	25	45	rolls produced	

Total trim loss % = 2.05882

It is interesting to note that the rolls of smaller sizes, namely, 1.2 m and 1.75 m are more than their ordered numbers in the minimum trim loss scheme which is not so obvious in an purely intuitive approach. The excess rolls can be utilized in some future orders if possible. If these rolls have to be repulped for any reason then they must be added to the trim loss.